

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Gunter Fuhr	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
 09/720,275	02/22/2001			A33828 PCT U	9406
21003	7590	08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER ST	KANDER STEPHAN
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	EW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1753	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/720,275	FUHR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Peoply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failthe Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ourse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>une 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	,					
3)□	- ''							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>20-25,29,30,38 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
. =	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
. '=	Claim(s) <u>39</u> is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>2-25,29,30 and 38</u> is/are rejected.	•						
· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)🛛	∑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	-	ancierity under 25 U.S.C. S. 1	10(a) (d) ar (f)					
	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
a)								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ceived in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list							
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot re	ceiveu.					
Attachmen		Λ∏ <u> </u>	-man (DTO 412)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 06, 2005 ("Amendment") have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants assert

Claim 20 defines in particular at least one microelectrode having a <u>band-shape</u> with a parabolic or hyperbolic curvature relative to the x- and y- directions. It is emphasized that the Fiedler et al. reference does not disclose or suggest this feature. Fiedler et al. only show in Figure 5 electrode <u>tips</u> which are rounded as the result of the manufacturing process. While the rounded tips can be described as having a parabolic curvature, Claim 20 defines the <u>band-shape as having the parabolic or hyperbolic curvature</u>. In contrast, the electrodes described by Fiedler et al. have straight, linear limitations. *Page 7 of the Amendment*.

Fiedler et al. state that the electrodes shown in Figure 5 are band electrodes. See the Figure 5 caption. Applicants acknowledge that the electrodes of Figure 5 have tips with parabolic curvature. See the quotation above. Yet Applicants assert that the electrodes of Figure 5 do not have a band-shape having a parabolic curvature. There must be a subtle distinction here that escapes the examiner. Applicants are invited to elaborate on why a band electrode with a parabolic tip is not a band-shaped electrode with parabolic curvature.

Application/Control Number: 09/720,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 1753

Status of Rejections Pending since the Office action of March 11, 2005

- 2. Paragraphs (a), (b), (d)-(h), and (j) of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn.
- 3. Paragraphs (c), (i), (k), and (m) of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are largely maintained and have been restated below.
- 4. The rejections of clams 20-24, 29, and 38/20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Fiedler et al. are withdrawn, but have been rewritten in light of the Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. Claims 20-25, 29, 30, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:
 - a) Claim 20 recites the limitation "at least one microelectrode" in line 9. Is this microelectrode part of the electrode arrangement of line 6?

Art Unit: 1753

b) Claim 24, lines 4-6: there are too many clauses linked with "to". It Is not clear

how claim 24 further structurally limits the microelectrodes.

c) Claim 25 recites the limitation "on separate tracks" in line 5. There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim; and

d) Claim 29 requires at least two microelectrodes, claim 30 requires at least one

microelectrode, and claim 25 requires at least two microelectrodes. However,

claims 20 and 39, from which these claim depend only requires at least one

microelectrode. It is not clear whether the microelectrodes of claims 29, 30, and

25 are in addition to the microelectrode of claim 20 or claim 39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

7. Claims 20-24, 29, 38/20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Fiedler et al. ("Dielectrophoretic Sorting of Particles and Cells in a Microsystem," *Anal. Chem.* 1998, 70, 1909-1915).

Addressing claim 20, for the limitations of this claim see the abstract and Figures 1A, 2A, 2B, and Figures 5A-C.

Note (a) that the Figure 5 caption states that the electrodes shown are band electrodes ("A field funnel is formed by four band electrodes."), (b) parabolic or hyperbolic electrodes are shown in Figures 5A-C (see the Merriam-Webster onLine definitions of parabola and hyberbola), (c) that the field barrier has a corresponding parabolic or hyperbolic curvature may be inferred from Figure 5c, which shows particles aligned with the outer surface of the electrode in the lower left-hand corner of the figure.

Addressing claim 21, Figure 5A shows four electrodes on a cover surface wall and four electrodes on a bottom surface wall. All eight electrodes have curved portions.

Addressing claim 22, at least two microelectrodes are shown in Figures 5A-C. The remaining limitations of the claim are intended use ("depending on a flow profile ...") and desired result ("a resulting force ..") that do not further structurally limit the microsystem.

Art Unit: 1753

Addressing claim 23, for the additional limitation of this claim see the caption to Figure 5C ("A field funnel is formed by four band electrodes.").

Addressing claim 24, at least two microelectrodes are shown in Figures 5A-C. The remaining limitations of the claim are intended use ("depending on a flow profile ...") and desired result ("describes a change in direction, which leads from ...") that do not further structurally limit the microsystem.

Addressing claim 29/20, for the additional limitation of this claim see Figure 5A.

Addressing claim 38/20, for the additional limitations of this claim see Figures 5A-C. Note particularly Figure 5B, which shows a trapped microscopic particle, and Figure 5, which shows defected microscopic particles.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 25 and 30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claim 39 is allowed.

10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

a) Claim 25 requires that the field barrier of the at least two microelectrodes acts

in combination with the flow profile of the suspension liquid such that suspended

particles with different passive electrical characteristics can pass the sorting

electrodes on separate tracks depending on the characteristics of the suspend

particles.

In Fiedler the microelectrodes in Figure 5 act as a funnel and a cage.

Particles flowing from left to right are funneled into the cage bounded by the tips

of the microelectrodes wherein selected particles are trapped while remaining

particles in the liquid continue flowing to the right. Thus, Fiedler does not

disclose passing the sorting electrodes on separate tracks, but in fact passing the

sorting electrodes on a narrowed track;

b) Claim 30 requires the two microelectrodes to comprise different geometric

shapes. In Fiedler the microelectrodes of Figure 5 have identical geometric

shapes. It would not have been obvious to have at least two of the

microelectrodes have different geometric shapes as this would upset the

symmetry of the cage field and depending on the difference in geometric shapes

may even make a cage field difficult to form; and

c) Claim 39 requires the at least one microelectrode to comprise a multitude of straight electrode sections connected with each other, and in relation to the longitudinal extension of the channel, the straight electrode sections are arranged with predetermined, different angles and the field barrier has a parabolic or hyperbolic curvature relative to the x- and y- directions corresponding to the arrangement of the straight electrode sections.

Fiedler discloses a multitude of straight electrode sections connected with each other, and in relation to the longitudinal extension of the channel, the straight electrode sections are arranged with predetermined, different angles. See Figure 2B. However, the field barrier does not have a parabolic or hyperbolic curvature relative to the x- and y-directions corresponding to the arrangement of the straight electrode sections. As seen from Figures 3-6, which show movement of particles through the electrode system and thus suggests the shape of the field barrier, the field barrier initially has a funnel shape with straight sides, then forms a linear passage in the same direction as the longitudinal extension, and ends with a switch that forms a substantially linear barrier in one of two alternative directions.

Art Unit: 1753

Final Rejection

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (571) 272-1343. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/720,275 Page 10

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NAM NGUYEN can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alex Noguerela Primary Examiner

AU 1753

July 28, 2005